United Academy for Science and Studies
College of Business Administration

Decision Assurance in Distribution

A conceptual and analytical development of Market Dominance and Profit Gravity for profitability and dated liquidity

Tamer Mohamed Ismail Farrag

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Professional Doctorate in Business Administration
Specialisation: Strategic Management

Supervisor: Dr. David Johnson

November 2026
London

Research Background and Purpose

Distribution decisions require coordination between commercial opportunities, operating commitments and financial resources. A proposed campaign may appear profitable while requiring payments before the responsible business has sufficient available cash. Similarly, a financial report may show an acceptable closing balance while concealing an earlier funding shortfall. A calculation may also be internally correct despite omitting an obligation from the underlying commercial plan.

This thesis addresses these problems by developing a bounded decision-assurance procedure within the wider Market Dominance and Profit Gravity framework. Its purpose is to establish what can reasonably support approval of a specified distribution plan, what information must be preserved, and when uncertainty or representation errors should prevent an unconditional conclusion.

The research distinguishes four activities: checking correspondence with declared source commitments, assessing financial feasibility, selecting economically preferable alternatives, and exercising managerial authority. A favourable calculation does not automatically establish that the source records are complete, that the plan is optimal, or that expenditure has been authorised.

Research Approach

The study adopts a conceptual and analytical approach supported by deterministic computational evaluation using constructed cases. It does not report participant research, observed company transactions or measured improvements in organisational performance.

The methodology combines comparison with relevant literature, formal definitions, conditional derivations, counterexamples and executable numerical evaluation. The literature covers distribution finance, integrated planning, commitment representations, financial-contract semantics, cumulative funding requirements, incomplete information and decision-relevant information selection.

The thesis recognises that its individual mathematical principles have established antecedents. Its proposed contribution is their explicit connection within an inspectable procedure for evaluating distribution commitments, rather than the invention of a new general theory of optimisation or financial verification.

The Decision-Assurance Framework

A declared source specification records obligations, accessible opening resources, financing conditions, authorisations, event order and applicable constraints. These records generate a canonical sequence of cash events. A separately reported ledger is then checked against that sequence.

This correspondence check preserves source identity, amounts, entity ownership, timing and multiplicity. It can identify defined omissions, duplications and alterations. However, it cannot

discover an obligation absent from both the source specification and the reported ledger. The resulting assurance is therefore conditional on the declared scope and interpretation of the source information.

Financial feasibility is assessed separately for each constrained entity and relevant event boundary. Cash held by another entity is not assumed available without an explicitly represented transfer or justified pooling arrangement. Settlement delays, financing conditions and changes in required cash reserves are retained.

The analysis demonstrates why matching opening and closing balances is insufficient. An outflow followed by a receipt can produce an interim shortfall even when the final balance is positive. Consequently, the order of economically significant events must remain visible in the assessment.

Analytical Results

For a fixed ordered cash block, the thesis derives an exact summary containing its net cash change and minimum required opening balance. Together, these quantities preserve the specified cash-feasibility conclusion and terminal cash. Consecutive summaries can be combined through an associative rule, although their order generally matters.

For incomplete information, the study evaluates a declared set of admissible completions. PASS means that every completion is feasible; REJECT means that none is feasible; REVIEW means that both feasible and infeasible completions remain. Contradictory information producing an empty completion set is handled separately.

The research also characterises information sufficiency: observed fields determine feasibility only when they distinguish every admissible pair with different feasibility outcomes. Missing information is therefore assessed according to its relevance to the particular decision.

Computational Evaluation and Findings

The manuscript reports an evaluation containing 432 constructed source plans and 9,504 reported representations. The source plans vary opening balances, transfer amounts, settlement timing, credit conditions and authorisation status.

Within this defined test universe, source reconciliation flags all 8,208 deliberately discrepant representations and flags none of the 1,296 valid controls. The discrepancies are deferred for correction rather than automatically classified as financially infeasible. A specified checker without source reconciliation produces 458 false approvals and 168 false rejections among the discrepant cases.

These findings demonstrate behaviour within the constructed evaluation domain. They do not establish business error frequencies, avoided financial losses or universal fault detection. The thesis appropriately reports decision coverage alongside errors: identifying a discrepancy does not mean that a completed commercial decision has been delivered.

A separate incomplete-information evaluation contains 756 cases, classified as 36 PASS, 582 REJECT and 138 REVIEW. The field-selection exercise finds that all six tested raw fields are required for global sufficiency across the particular source grid. This limits any general claim that the framework reduces input requirements.

The reported aggregation checks compare detailed cash paths with exact summaries and their compositions. These computational exercises support the implementation within the tested domain; the general conclusions remain dependent on the stated assumptions and analytical arguments.

Worked Commercial Application

An additional constructed campaign connects source records, feasibility, economic selection and authorisation. It evaluates seven alternatives across seven complete scenarios.

Under nominal assumptions, opening cash is 1,000, an unavoidable payment is 200, and the required reserve is 400. A positive campaign incurs a fixed cost of 100 and a cost of 70 per order, with receipts of 100 per order.

Four orders generate an incremental contribution of 20 and maintain minimum cash of 420. Six orders generate a higher contribution of 80 but reduce cash to 280 before collection, breaching the reserve.

An early deposit of 20 per order makes six orders feasible at the reserve boundary of 400. The same deposit received after payment leaves an interim shortfall despite an identical day-end balance. The example demonstrates why timing, source evidence and contractual reconciliation matter.

Under a broader adverse scenario, four orders remain liquid but produce a negative contribution. The specified robust decision rule therefore selects no campaign. Financial feasibility and economic attractiveness are shown to be distinct requirements.

Contribution and Professional Relevance

The proposed contribution is a structured connection between declared commercial commitments, faithful representations, dated funding checks, decision-relevant uncertainty and documented authorisation.

The procedure can support transparent explanations of why a plan passes, fails or requires further evidence. It also identifies conditions under which verified summaries can be reused and circumstances requiring reassessment.

An equally complete integrated reference produces the same decisions under identical information, constraints and selection rules. This agreement is treated as a correctness result, not evidence of economic superiority.

Limitations and Conclusion

The research does not establish improved managerial speed, realised cost savings, organisational adoption, behavioural effects or sustained market leadership. Its cases are constructed, its source scope is declared, and its computational checks cannot independently validate the truth of external business records.

The behavioural hypotheses remain outside the central analytical contribution. AI assistance is disclosed, while responsibility for understanding, verifying and defending the work remains with the researcher.

The thesis concludes that decision assurance requires more than a profitable forecast or acceptable closing balance. It requires faithful representation of declared commitments, appropriate timing and ownership boundaries, explicit treatment of uncertainty, and a clear separation between analytical findings and managerial authority. The resulting contribution is bounded and reproducible in principle, with independent reproduction and institutional assessment remaining separate requirements.